

INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Saint Paul District
FILE NUMBER: MVP-2006-3307-LMK
PROJECT MANAGER: Linda Kurtz

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office? Y ☒ N ☐ Date:6-12-06
At the project site? Y ☒ N ☐ Date:6-12-06

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Wisconsin
County: Brown
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude: 44.4744240998 / -87.9252052848
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands) in acres: 12
Name of waterway or watershed: Lower Fox

Type of Aquatic Resource:	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear Ft	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	#1, 2, 3, 4								
Slough									
Prairie Pothole									
Wet Meadow									
Playa Lake									
Vernal Pool									
Natural Pond									
Other Water (identify type)									

1 Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able to Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					X
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?		X			

1 Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary ☐ Or Approved: ☒

FILE NUMBER: MVP-2006-3307-LMK

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING njd (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

The Corps received a request for a jurisdictional determination on a 12-acre property. Four wetlands were identified on the property.

A site visit and review of local topographic maps, the local soil survey, wetland inventory maps, and aerial photography failed to identify any surface water connection to a water of the U.S. for the wetland signatures identified. In addition, there is no evidence of use by interstate or foreign travelers or, because of limited seasonal open water, use as fish or shellfish habitat.